



Kevin Murray
<kevin.murray@mabey
murray.com>

11/16/2005 11:08 AM

To Peggy Churchill/EPR/R8/USEPA/US@EPA, Richard
Baird/ENF/R8/USEPA/US@EPA, Maureen
OReilly/ENF/R8/USEPA/US@EPA, Peggy
cc Kerry Gee <kcgee@unitedpark.com>

bcc

Subject RE: Fw: revised OSR/Park City memo

We do not have this, but it looks good to us . . . Is there a signed
version we can get a copy of?

-----Original Message-----

From: Churchill.Peggy@epamail.epa.gov
[mailto:Churchill.Peggy@epamail.epa.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, November 16, 2005 10:59 AM
To: Kevin Murray; Baird.Richard@epamail.epa.gov;
OReilly.Maureen@epamail.epa.gov; Livingston.Peggy@epamail.epa.gov
Cc: Kerry Gee
Subject: Re: Fw: revised OSR/Park City memo

Thanks Kevin, I thought the meeting went well also. I think we are on
track now to have UPCM working at Richardson by this spring. Here is
the last draft of the OSR Memo. It looks like it did get sent out last
year, so maybe you do have the correct version. Let me know if you need
anything else.

Peggy Churchill
US EPA (EPR-SR)
999 18th St. Suite 500
Denver, CO 80202
303-312-6137

Peggy
Churchill/EPR/R8
/USEPA/US

11/02/2004 02:53
PM

To
Kerry Gee <kcgee@unitedpark.com>

cc

Subject
Fw: revised OSR/Park City memo

Peggy Churchill
US EPA (EPR-SR)
999 18th St. Suite 500
Denver, CO 80202
303-312-6137

----- Forwarded by Peggy Churchill/EPR/R8/USEPA/US on 11/02/2004 02:53
PM -----

Jim Christiansen

To: Richard
Baird/ENF/R8/USEPA/US@EPA, Terry Brown/P2/R8/USEPA/US@EPA, Peter
08/17/2004 03:45
Ornstein/RC/R8/USEPA/US@EPA, Tom Burns/P2/R8/USEPA/US@EPA

PM cc: Peggy
Churchill/EPR/R8/USEPA/US@EPA
Subject: revised
OSR/Park City memo

Met with Terry today, and he advised that both Peter and Tom would be more comfortable concurring on a memo that was more succinct, and only hit on the driving factor for whether this action met the on-site definition. I cut the original down to something that I think will work - please review and let me know if I hit the mark. Then I will route hard copy. We plan to include a version of the previous memo in the Admin Record for the Site, but without concurrences. We believe it contains important information on our decision that may be important to the public should the decision be questioned. Thanks. Jim

(See attached file: osrappliability-RFT-2.dot)